

AIE1007: Natural Language Processing

L9: Recurrent neural networks

Autumn 2024

Midterm logistics

- ^A 3-hour timed exam from **March 7th 1:30pm** (Thu) to **March 8th 4:30pm (Fri)**
	- We will provide email support for the following times: Mar 7, 1:30-3:30pm Mar 7, 5:30-7:30pm Mar 8, 8-10am Mar 8, 10am-12pm Mar 8, 1pm-3pm
- Open-book (lecture slides & readings), no internet / ChatGPT allowed
- Practice midterms have been released on Ed
- All topics up to today's lecture will be covered in the midterm

How can we model sequences using **neural networks**?

- Recurrent neural networks = A class of neural networks used to model sequences, allowing to handle **variable length inputs**
- Very crucial in NLP problems (different from images) because sentences/paragraphs are variable-length, sequential inputs

Recap: n-gram vs neural language models

Language models: Given $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in V$, the goal is to model: *n* $P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \prod P(x_i)$ ∣ *x*¹ , …, *xi*−¹) $i=1$

 P (sat_/the cat) = count(the cat sat) count(the cat) N-gram models:

As the proctor started the clock, the students opened their $__$

$$
P(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1})
$$

- We need to model bigger context!
- The # of probabilities that we need to estimate grow exponentially with window size!

Dilemma:

Recap: Feedforward neural language models

Feedforward neural language models approximate the probability based on the previous *m* (e.g., 5) words *- m* is a hyper-parameter! *n* $P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \approx \prod P(x_i | x_{i-m+1}, ..., x_{i-1})$ *i*=1

 $P(mat)$ the cat sat on the) = ?

- d: word embedding size
- h: hidden size
- It is a |V|-way classification problem!

Recap: Feedforward neural language models

 $P(mat)$ the cat sat on the) = ? d: word embedding size h: hidden size

- Input layer (m= 5): $\mathbf{x} = [e(\text{the}); e(\text{cat}); e(\text{sat}); e(\text{on}); e(\text{the})] \in \mathbb{R}^{md}$
- Hidden layer: • $\mathbf{h} = \tanh(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^h$
- Output layer

 $z = Uh$ 2 R^{|V|}

 $P(w = i |$ the cat sat on the)

$$
= \text{softmax}_i(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{P} \frac{e^{z_i}}{k e^{z_k}}
$$

Recap: Feedforward neural language models

Limitations?

- **W linearly** scales with the context size *^m*
- The models learns separate patterns for different positions!

The Fat Cat Sat on the Mat is a 1996 children's book by Nurit Karlin. Published by Harper Collins as part of the reading readiness program, the book stresses the ability to read words of specific structure, such as -at.

…

W[: ,3*d* : 5*d*] the fat cat sat on the fat cat sat on the mat cat sat on the mat is "sat on" corresponds to different parameters in **W W**[: ,1*d* : 3*d*]

A family of neural networks that can handle **variable length inputs**

A function: $\mathbf{y} = \textsf{RNN}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^h$ where $\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Core idea: apply the same weights repeatedly at different positions!

Highly effective approach for language modeling, sequence tagging, text classification:

Form the basis for the modern approaches to machine translation, question answering and dialogue systems:

sequence-to-sequence models

(Sutskever et al., 2014): Sequence to Sequence Learning with Neural Networks

Simple recurrent neural networks

A function: $\mathbf{y} = \textsf{RNN}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^h$ where $\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$

 $\mathbf{h}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^h$ is an initial state

$$
\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^h
$$

Simple RNNs:

$$
\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}, \mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{h}
$$

This model contains $h \times (h + d + 1)$ parameters, and optionally *h for* \mathbf{h}_0 (a common way is just to set \mathbf{h}_0 as $\mathbf{0}$)

g: nonlinearity (e.g. tanh, ReLU),

$$
\mathbf{h}_t = f(\mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_t) \in \mathbb{R}^h
$$

 \mathbf{h}_t : hidden states which store information from \mathbf{x}_1 to \mathbf{x}_t

Simple recurrent neural networks $\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^h$

Key idea: apply the same weights **W**, **U**, **b** repeatedly

RNNs vs Feedforward NNs

Feed-Forward Neural Network

$$
\mathbf{h}_1 = g(\mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{h_1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{h}_2 = g(\mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{h}_1 + \mathbf{b}^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{h_2}
$$

Recurrent Neural Network

$\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^h$

Recurrent neural language models (RNNLMs)

Recurrent neural language models (RNNLMs)

 $P(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) = P(w_1) \times P(w_2 \mid w_1) \times P(w_3 \mid w_1, w_2) \times ... \times P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1})$ $= P(w_1 | \mathbf{h}_0) \times P(w_2 | \mathbf{h}_1) \times P(w_3 | \mathbf{h}_2) \times ... \times P(w_n | \mathbf{h}_{n-1})$

No Markov assumption here!

 $\mathbf{D}\text{enote }\mathbf{\hat{y}}_t = \textit{softmax}(\mathbf{W}_o\mathbf{h}_t), \, \mathbf{W}_o \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|\times h}$

 $= \hat{y}_0(w_1) \times \hat{y}_1(w_2) \dots \times \hat{y}_{n-1}(w_n)$

 $\hat{y}_1(w_2)$ = the probability of w_2

Recurrent neural language models (RNNLMs)

$$
\mathbf{\hat{y}}_t = softmax(\mathbf{W}_o \mathbf{h}_t)
$$

Training loss:

Trainable parameters:

$$
\theta = \{W, U, b, W_o, E\}
$$

$$
L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t-1}(w_t)
$$

\hat{y}_4 **h**_{*t*} = *g*(**Wh**_{*t*-1} + **Ux**_{*t*} + **b**) ∈ ℝ^{*h*}

RNNLMs: weight tying

- word embeddings (= input embeddings): **E** ∈ ℝ |*V*|×*d*
- output embeddings:

 $\mathbf{W}_o \in \mathbb{R}^{|V| \times h}$

If $d = h$, we can just merge **E** and W_o ! $\theta = \{W, U, b, E\}$

It works better empirically and becomes a common

practice

Progress on language models

On the Penn Treebank (PTB) dataset

Metric: perplexity

Model KN5 $KN5 + cache$ Feedforward NNLM Log-bilinear NNLM **Syntactical NNLM Recurrent NNLM RNN-LDALM**

(Mikolov and Zweig, 2012): Context dependent recurrent neural network language model

Progress on language models

(Yang et al, 2018): Breaking the Softmax Bottleneck: A High-Rank RNN Language Model

On the Penn Treebank (PTB) dataset

Metric: perplexity

Model

Mikolov & Zweig (2012) – RNN-LDA + KN-5 + cache Zaremba et al. $(2014) - LSTM$ Gal & Ghahramani (2016) - Variational LSTM (MC) Kim et al. (2016) – CharCNN Merity et al. (2016) – Pointer Sentinel-LSTM Grave et al. (2016) – LSTM + continuous cache pointer[†] Inan et al. (2016) – Tied Variational LSTM + augmented loss Zilly et al. (2016) - Variational RHN Zoph & Le (2016) – NAS Cell Melis et al. $(2017) - 2$ -layer skip connection LSTM Merity et al. $(2017) - AWD$ -LSTM w/o finetune Merity et al. $(2017) - AWD$ -LSTM Ours – AWD-LSTM-MoS w/o finetune Ours-AWD-LSTM-MoS Merity et al. (2017) – AWD-LSTM + continuous cache pointer[†] Krause et al. (2017) – AWD-LSTM + dynamic evaluation[†] Ours – AWD-LSTM-MoS + dynamic evaluation¹

RNNs: pros and cons

Advantages:

- Can process any length input
- Computation for step *t* can (in theory) use information from many steps back
- Model size doesn't increase for longer input context

Disadvantages:

- Recurrent computation is slow (can't parallelize) < Transformers can!
- In practice, difficult to access information from many steps back (optimization issue) We will see some advanced RNNs (e.g., LSTMs, GRUs)

Training RNNLMs

- Forward pass + backward pass (compute gradients)
- Forward pass:

For *t* =1, 2, …, *n* $y = -\log \text{softmax}(\mathbf{W}_o \mathbf{h}_{t-1})(w_t)$ $\mathbf{x}_t = e(w_t)$ $\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{b})$ $L = L +$ 1 *y n* $L = 0$ **h**₀ = **0** accumulate loss

What is the running time of a forward pass?

What is the running time of a forward pass?

(a)
$$
O(h \times (d + h + |V|))
$$

(b)
$$
O(n \times h \times (d + h + |V|))
$$

$$
(c) O(n \times (d + h + |V|))
$$

n = number of time steps h = hidden dimension d = word vector dimension $V =$ output vocabulary

(d)
$$
O(n \times h \times (d + h))
$$

The answer is (b) .

$$
L = 0 \quad \mathbf{h}_0 = \mathbf{0}
$$

For $t = 1, 2, ..., n$

$$
y = -\log \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{W}_0 \mathbf{h}_{t-1})(w_t)
$$

$$
\mathbf{x}_t = e(w_t)
$$

$$
\mathbf{h}_t = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{b})
$$

$$
L = L + \frac{1}{n}y
$$

Training RNNLMs

• The algorithm is called Backpropagation Through Time (BPTT).

- Backward pass:
	- Backpropagation? Yes, but not that simple!

First, compute gradient with respect to hidden vector of last time step:

∂*L*³ ∂**h**³

∂**h**₁

Backpropagation th
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_1 = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_0 + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{b})
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_2 = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_1 + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{b})
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_3 = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_2 + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{b}) \qquad \hat{\mathbf{y}}_3 = softmax(\mathbf{W}_0 \mathbf{h}_3)
$$
\n
$$
L_3 = -log \hat{\mathbf{y}}_3(w_4)
$$

If *k* and *t* are far away, the gradients can grow/shrink exponentially (called the gradient exploding or gradient vanishing problem)

arough time

$$
\frac{\partial L_3}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_3}{\partial \mathbf{W}} + \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{h}_2} + \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{h}_1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_1}{\partial \mathbf{W}}
$$

More generally,
$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{\partial L_t}{\partial \mathbf{h}_t} \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^t \frac{\partial L_j}{\partial \mathbf{h}_j} \right)
$$

What if gradients become too large or small?

What will happen if the gradients become too large or too small?

(a)If too large, the model will become difficult to converge (b) If too small, the model can't capture long-term dependencies (c) If too small, the model may capture a wrong recent dependency (d) All of the above

All of these are correct, so (d) \odot

-
-
-
-

Backpropagation through time

One solution for **gradient exploding** is called **gradient clipping** — if the norm of the gradient is greater than some threshold, scale it down before applying SGD update.

Intuition: take a step in the same direction but a smaller step!

Gradient vanishing is a harder problem to solve:

As the proctor started the clock, the students opened their $\sqrt{ }$

Truncated backpropagation through time

• Backpropagation is very expensive if you handle long sequences

- Run forward and backward through chunks of the sequence instead of whole sequence
- Carry hidden states forward in time forever, but only back-propagate for some smaller number of steps

Applications and variants

Application:Text generation

You can generate text by **repeated sampling.** Sampled output is next step's input.

The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Recurrent Neural **Networks**

May 21, 2015

<http://karpathy.github.io/2015/05/21/rnn-effectiveness/>

You can train an RNN-LM on any kind of text, then generate text in that style.

```
\begin{proof}
We may assume that \mathcal{I} is an abelian sheaf on \mathcal{C}.
\item Given a morphism $\Delta : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{I}$
is an injective and let $\mathfrak q$ be an abelian sheaf on $X$.
Let \mathcal{F}\ be a fibered complex. Let \mathcal{F}\ be a category.
\begin{enumerate}
\item \hyperref[setain-construction-phantom]{Lemma}
\label{lemma-characterize-quasi-finite}
Let \mathcal{F}\ be an abelian quasi-coherent sheaf on \mathcal{C}\.
Let \mathcal{F}\ be a coherent \mathcal{O} X$-module. Then
$\mathcal{F}$ is an abelian catenary over $\mathcal{C}$.
\item The following are equivalent
\begin{enumerate}
\item $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathcal{0}_X$-module.
\end{lemma}
```


The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Recurrent Neural **Networks**

May 21, 2015

https://medium.com/ @ [samim/obama-rnn-machine-generated-political-speeches-c8abd18a2ea0](https://medium.com/%40samim/obama-rnn-machine-generated-political-speeches-c8abd18a2ea0)

You can train an RNN-LM on any kind of text, then generate text in that style.

Good afternoon. God bless you.

The United States will step up to the cost of a new challenges of the American people that will share the fact that we created the problem. They were attacked and so that they have to say that all the task of the final days of war that I will not be able to get this done. The promise of the men and women who were still going to take out the fact that the American people have fought to make sure that they have to be able to protect our part. It was a chance to stand together to completely look for the commitment to borrow from the American people. And the fact is the men and women in uniform and the millions of our country with the law system that we should be a strong stretcks of the forces that we can afford to increase our spirit of the American people and the leadership of our country who are on the Internet of American lives.

Thank you very much. God bless you, and God bless the United States of America.

Application: Sequence tagging

Input: a sentence of *n* words: x_1, \ldots, x_n Output: $y_1, ..., y_n, y_i \in \{1, ...C\}$

$$
P(y_i = k) = softmax_k(\mathbf{W}_o \mathbf{h}_i) \qquad \mathbf{W}_o \in
$$

$$
\mathbb{R}^{C \times h}
$$

$$
L = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(y_i = k)
$$

Application:Text Classification

Input: a sentence of *n* words

Output: *y* ∈ {1,2,…, *C*}

$$
P(y = k) = softmax_k(\mathbf{W}_o \mathbf{h}_n)
$$

$$
L = -\log P(y = c)
$$

W*o* ∈ ℝ*C*×*h*

Multi-layer RNNs

- \bullet RNNs are already "deep" on one dimension (unroll over time steps)
- We can also make them "deep" in another dimension by applying multiple RNNs
- Multi-layer RNNs are also called stacked RNNs.

Multi-layer RNNs

The hidden states from RNN layer *i* are the inputs to RNN layer $i + 1$

- In practice, using 2 to 4 layers is common (usually better than 1 layer)
- \bullet Transformer networks can be up to 24 layers with lots of skip-connections

Bidirectional RNNs

Bidirectionality is important in language representations:

-
-

terribly:

• left context "the movie was"

• right context "exciting !"

Bidirectional RNNs

$$
\mathbf{h}_t = f(\mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_t) \in \mathbb{R}^h
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_t &= f_1(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_t), t = 1, 2, \dots n \\
\leftarrow \\
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_t &= f_2(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_{t+1}, \mathbf{x}_t), t = n, n - 1, \dots 1 \\
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_t &= [\overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_t, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_t] \in \mathbb{R}^{2h}\n\end{aligned}
$$

When can we use bidirectional RNNs?

Can we use bidirectional RNNs in the following tasks? (1)text classification, (2) sequence tagging, (3) text generation

- (a) Yes, Yes, Yes
- (b) Yes, No, Yes
- (c) Yes, Yes, No
- (d) No, Yes, No

The answer is (c) .

-
-

Bidirectional RNNs

• Sequence tagging: Yes! (esp. important)

Bidirectional RNNs

• Text generation: No. Because we can't see the future to predict the next word.

- Sequence tagging: Yes!
- \bullet Text classification: Yes!
	- Common practice: concatenate the last hidden vectors in two directions or take the mean/max over all the hidden vectors

A note on terminology

• Simple RNNs are also called vanilla RNNs

- Sometimes vanilla RNNs don't work that well, so we need to use some advanced RNN variants such as LST and the GRUs (next lecture) M
- \bullet In practice, we generally use multi-layer RNNs

… together with fancy ingredients such as residual connections with self-attention, variational dropout..

