AIE1007: Natural Language Processing

L2: n-gram Language Models

Autumn 2024

Lecture plan

- What is an **n-gram language model**?
- **Generating** from a language model
- **Evaluating** a language model (perplexity)
- **Smoothing**: additive, interpolation, discounting

Recommended reading:
JM3 3.1-3.5

CHAPTER 3 | **N-gram Language Models**

What is an n-gram language model?

What is a language model?

- A probabilistic model of a sequence of words
- Joint probability distribution of words $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$:

$$P(w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_n)$$



(i.e., $\Pr[w_1 w_2 w_2 \ldots w_n]$ associated with every finite word sequence $w_1 w_2 w_2 \ldots w_n$ (including nonsensical ones)

How likely is a given phrase, sentence, paragraph or even a document?

Chain rule

Conditional probability:
$p(w \mid w_1, w_2), \forall w \in V$

$$p(w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_n) =$$

$$p(w_1) p(w_2 \mid w_1) p(w_3 \mid w_1, w_2) \times \cdots \times p(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n-1})$$

Sentence: “the cat sat on the mat”

$$P(\text{the cat sat on the mat}) = P(\text{the}) \times P(\text{cat}|\text{the}) \times P(\text{sat}|\text{the cat})$$
$$\times P(\text{on}|\text{the cat sat}) \times P(\text{the}|\text{the cat sat on})$$
$$\times P(\text{mat}|\text{the cat sat on the})$$

Implicit order

Language models are everywhere



Estimating probabilities

$$P(\text{sat}|\text{the cat}) = \frac{\text{count(the cat sat)}}{\text{count(the cat)}}$$

$$P(\text{on}|\text{the cat sat}) = \frac{\text{count(the cat sat on)}}{\text{count(the cat sat)}}$$

$$\vdots$$

trigram

bigram

Maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)

Assume we have a vocabulary of size V,

how many sequences of length do we have?

A) $n * V$

B) n^V

C) V^n

D) V/n

Estimating probabilities

$$P(\text{sat}|\text{the cat}) = \frac{\text{count(the cat sat)}}{\text{count(the cat)}}$$

$$P(\text{on}|\text{the cat sat}) = \frac{\text{count(the cat sat on)}}{\text{count(the cat sat)}}$$

$$\vdots$$

Maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)

- With a vocabulary of size V, # sequences of length n = V^n
- Typical English vocabulary ~ 40k words
  - Even sentences of length <= 11 results in more than 4 * 10^50 sequences. Too many to count! *(# of atoms in the earth ~ 10^50)*

Markov assumption

- Use only the recent past to predict the next word
- Reduces the number of estimated parameters in exchange for modeling capacity
- 1st order

$$P(\text{mat}|\text{the cat sat on the}) \approx P(\text{mat}|\text{the})$$

- 2nd order

$$P(\text{mat}|\text{the cat sat on the}) \approx P(\text{mat}|\text{on the})$$

Andrey Markov

k^{th} order Markov

Consider only the last *k* words (or less) for context

$$P(w_i \mid w_1 w_2 \ldots w_{i-1}) \approx P(w_i \mid w_{i-k} \ldots w_{i-1})$$

which implies the probability of a sequence is:

$$P(w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n) \approx \prod_i P(w_i \mid w_{i-k} \ldots w_{i-1})$$

(assume $w_j = \phi \quad \forall j < 0$)

Need to estimate counts for up to (k+1) grams

n-gram models

Unigram $$P(w_1, w_2, ...w_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i)$$ e.g. P(the) P(cat) P(sat)

Bigram $$P(w_1, w_2, ...w_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i|w_{i-1})$$ e.g. P(the) P(cat | the) P(sat | cat)

and Trigram, 4-gram, and so on.

Larger the n, more accurate and better the language model
(but also higher costs)

Caveat: Assuming infinite data!

Generating from a language model

Generating from a language model

- Given a language model, how to generate a sequence?

Bigram $$P(w_1, w_2, \ldots w_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i | w_{i-1})$$

- Generate the first word $w_1 \sim P(w)$
- Generate the second word $w_2 \sim P(w \mid w_1)$
- Generate the third word $w_3 \sim P(w \mid w_2)$
- …



Generating from a language model

- Given a language model, how to generate a sequence?

Trigram $$P(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1})$$

- Generate the first word $w_1 \sim P(w)$
- Generate the second word $w_2 \sim P(w \mid w_1)$
- Generate the third word $w_3 \sim P(w \mid w_1, w_2)$
- Generate the fourth word $w_4 \sim P(w \mid w_2, w_3)$
- …

Generations

Unigram

release millions See ABC accurate President of Donald Will cheat them a CNN megynkelly experience @these word out- the

Bigram

Thank you believe that @ABC news, Mississippi tonight and the false editorial I think the great people Bill Clinton . ''

Trigram

We are going to MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN! #MakeAmericaGreatAgain https: //t.co/DjkdAzT3WV

Typical LMs are not sufficient to handle long-range dependencies

"Alice/Bob could not go to work that day because she/he had a doctor's appointment"

Generations

Example from a GPT-2 output (2019):

prompt aka. conditional context

With the start of the new academic year, Princeton has an opportunity to help provide a new generation of women with a diverse set of academic resources for higher education.
We are offering the resources of the Princeton-McGill program specifically to women with undergraduate degrees who would like to enhance their academic experience. Princeton-McGill offers a comprehensive suite of services for women and their families including a variety of graduate programs, support programs, and the opportunity to serve as leaders in their communities with a wide variety of programs, activities and services. For the upcoming fall, Princeton-McGill will also offer its Women's Center , which is located in a renovated women's dorm.
At Princeton, we are working with the Princeton-McGill community to develop a suite of programs that are designed to give new and returning students a strong foundation for a successful, rewarding graduate career. The Women's Center , the Princeton-McGill Women's Center provides a range of supports to address the specific needs of female doctoral degree graduates. Programs are tailored to meet the unique needs of women under the age of 28, women and families

https://talktotransformer.com/

$$P(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_i \mid w_{i-1024}, \ldots, w_{i-2}, w_{i-1})$$

Modern LMs can handle much longer contexts!

Generation methods (advanced)

- Greedy: choose the most likely word!

To predict the next word given a context of two words w_1, w_2:

$$w_3 = \arg\max_{w \in V} P(w \mid w_1, w_2)$$

- Top-k vs top-p sampling:



Top-k sampling



Top-p sampling

https://blog.allenai.org/a-guide-to-language-model-sampling-in-allennlp-3b1239274bc3

Evaluating a language model

Extrinsic evaluation



- Train LM   apply to task   observe accuracy
- Directly optimized for downstream applications
  - higher task accuracy   better model
- Expensive, time consuming
- Hard to optimize downstream objective (indirect feedback)

Intrinsic evaluation of language models

Research process:

- Train parameters on a suitable training corpus
  - Assumption: observed sentences ~ good sentences
- Test on *different, unseen* corpus
  - If a language model assigns a higher probability to the test set, it is better
- Evaluation metric - perplexity!



Perplexity (ppl)

- Measure of how well a LM **predicts** the next word
- For a test corpus with words $w_1, w_2, \ldots w_n$

$$\text{Perplexity} = P(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)^{-1/n}$$

$$\text{ppl}(S) = e^x \quad \text{where} \quad x = -\frac{1}{n} \log P(w_1, \ldots, w_n) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(w_i \mid w_1 \ldots w_{i-1})$$

→ Cross-Entropy

- Unigram model: $x = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(w_i)$ (since $P(w_j \mid w_1 \ldots w_{j-1}) \approx P(w_j)$)

- Minimizing perplexity ~ maximizing probability of corpus

Intuition on perplexity

If our k-gram model (with vocabulary V) has following probability:

$$P(w \mid w_{i-k}, \ldots w_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{|V|} \quad \forall w \in V$$

what is the perplexity of the test corpus?

A) $e^{|V|}$ B) $|V|$ C) $|V|^2$ D) $e^{-|V|}$

$$\text{ppl}(S) = e^x \quad \text{where} \quad x = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(w_i \mid w_1 \ldots w_{i-1})$$

→ Cross-Entropy

Intuition on perplexity

If our k-gram model (with vocabulary V) has following probability:

$$P(w \mid w_{i-k}, \dots w_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{|V|} \quad \forall w \in V$$

$$\text{ppl}(S) = e^x \quad \text{where} \quad x = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(w_i \mid w_1 \dots w_{i-1})$$

what is the perplexity of the test corpus?

A) $e^{|V|}$ B) $|V|$ C) $|V|^2$ D) $e^{-|V|}$

$$\text{ppl} = e^{-\frac{1}{n} n \log(1/|V|)} = |V|$$

Measure of model's uncertainty about next word (aka `average branching factor')

branching factor = # of possible words following any word

Perplexity

Training corpus 38 million words, test corpus 1.5 million words, both **WSJ**

N-gram Order	Unigram	Bigram	Trigram
Perplexity (test)	962	170	109



https://paperswithcode.com/sota/language-modelling-on-penn-treebank-word

Smoothing

Generalization of n-grams

Any problems with n-gram models and their evaluation?

- Not all n-grams in the test set will be observed in training data
- Test corpus might have some that have zero probability under our model
  - **Training set**: *Google news*
  - **Test set**: *Shakespeare*
  - P(affray | voice doth us) = 0 $\Longrightarrow$ P(test corpus) = 0
  - Perplexity is not defined.

$$\text{ppl}(S) = e^{x} \quad \text{where} \quad x = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(w_i \mid w_1 \dots w_{i-1})$$

Sparsity in language



$$freq / \frac{1}{rank}$$

Zipf's Law

- Long tail of infrequent words
- Most finite-size corpora will have this problem.

Smoothing

- Handle sparsity by making sure all probabilities are non-zero in our model
  - Additive: Add a small amount to all probabilities
  - Interpolation: Use a combination of different granularities of n-grams
  - Discounting: Redistribute probability mass from observed n-grams to unobserved ones

Smoothing intuition

When we have sparse statistics:

P(w | denied the)
3 allegations
2 reports
1 claims
1 request
7 total



Steal probability mass to generalize better

P(w | denied the)
2.5 allegations
1.5 reports
0.5 claims
0.5 request
2 other
7 total



(Slide credit: Dan Klein)

Laplace smoothing

- Also known as add-alpha

- Simplest form of smoothing: Just add to all counts and renormalize!

- Max likelihood estimate for bigrams:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{C(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{C(w_{i-1})}$$

- After smoothing:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{C(w_{i-1}, w_i) + \alpha}{C(w_{i-1}) + \alpha|V|}$$

Raw bigram counts (Berkeley restaurant corpus)

- Out of 9222 sentences

	i	want	to	eat	chinese	food	lunch	spend
i	5	827	0	9	0	0	0	2
want	2	0	608	1	6	6	5	1
to	2	0	4	686	2	0	6	211
eat	0	0	2	0	16	2	42	0
chinese	1	0	0	0	0	82	1	0
food	15	0	15	0	1	4	0	0
lunch	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
spend	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

(Slide credit: Dan Jurafsky)

Smoothed bigram counts

	i	want	to	eat	chinese	food	lunch	spend
i	6	828	1	10	1	1	1	3
want	3	1	609	2	7	7	6	2
to	3	1	5	687	3	1	7	212
eat	1	1	3	1	17	3	43	1
chinese	2	1	1	1	1	83	2	1
food	16	1	16	1	2	5	1	1
lunch	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
spend	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1

Add 1 to all the entries in the matrix

(Slide credit: Dan Jurafsky)

Smoothed bigram probabilities

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{C(w_{i-1}, w_i) + \alpha}{C(w_{i-1}) + \alpha|V|} \qquad \alpha = 1$$

	i	want	to	eat	chinese	food	lunch	spend
i	0.0015	0.21	0.00025	0.0025	0.00025	0.00025	0.00025	0.00075
want	0.0013	0.00042	0.26	0.00084	0.0029	0.0029	0.0025	0.00084
to	0.00078	0.00026	0.0013	0.18	0.00078	0.00026	0.0018	0.055
eat	0.00046	0.00046	0.0014	0.00046	0.0078	0.0014	0.02	0.00046
chinese	0.0012	0.00062	0.00062	0.00062	0.00062	0.052	0.0012	0.00062
food	0.0063	0.00039	0.0063	0.00039	0.00079	0.002	0.00039	0.00039
lunch	0.0017	0.00056	0.00056	0.00056	0.00056	0.0011	0.00056	0.00056
spend	0.0012	0.00058	0.0012	0.00058	0.00058	0.00058	0.00058	0.00058

(Credits: Dan Jurafsky)

Linear Interpolation

$$\hat{P}(w_i \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \lambda_1 P(w_i \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1})$$
$$+\lambda_2 P(w_i \mid w_{i-1})$$
$$+\lambda_3 P(w_i)$$

Trigram

Bigram

Unigram

$$\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$$

- Use a combination of models to estimate probability
- Strong empirical performance

How can we choose lambdas?



- First, estimate n-gram prob. on training set
- Then, estimate lambdas (hyperparameters) to maximize probability on the held-out development/validation set
- Use best model from above to evaluate on test set

Discounting

- Determine some “mass” to remove from probability estimates
- More explicit method for redistributing mass among unseen n-grams
- Just choose an absolute value to discount (usually <1)

Absolute Discounting

- Define Count*(x) = Count(x) - 0.5

- Missing probability mass:

$$\alpha(w_{i-1}) = 1 - \sum_{w} \frac{\text{Count}^*(w_{i-1}, w)}{\text{Count}(w_{i-1})}$$

$$\alpha(\text{the}) = 10 \times 0.5/48 = 5/48$$

- Divide this mass between words for which Count(the,) = 0

x	Count(x)	Count*(x)	$\frac{\text{Count}^*(x)}{\text{Count}(x)}$
the	48		
the, dog	15	14.5	14.5/48
the, woman	11	10.5	10.5/48
the, man	10	9.5	9.5/48
the, park	5	4.5	4.5/48
the, job	2	1.5	1.5/48
the, telescope	1	0.5	0.5/48
the, manual	1	0.5	0.5/48
the, afternoon	1	0.5	0.5/48
the, country	1	0.5	0.5/48
the, street	1	0.5	0.5/48

Absolute Discounting

x	Count(x)	Count*(x)	$\frac{\text{Count}^*(x)}{\text{Count}(x)}$
the	48		
the, dog	15	14.5	14.5/48
the, woman	11	10.5	10.5/48
the, man	10	9.5	9.5/48
the, park	5	4.5	4.5/48
the, job	2	1.5	1.5/48
the, telescope	1	0.5	0.5/48
the, manual	1	0.5	0.5/48
the, afternoon	1	0.5	0.5/48
the, country	1	0.5	0.5/48
the, street	1	0.5	0.5/48

$$\leftarrow(\text{the}) = 10 \rightarrow 0.5/48 = 5/48$$

$$P_{\text{abs\_discount}}(w_i \mid w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i) - d}{c(w_{i-1})} \quad \text{if } c(w_{i-1}, w_i) > 0$$

$$\alpha(w_{i-1}) \frac{P(w_i)}{\sum_{w'} P(w')} \quad \text{if } c(w_{i-1}, w_i) = 0$$

Unigram probabilities